

Exotic Models

Exotic categorical wellfounded models

Problem

Suppose $ZFC + \varphi$ has a unique transitive model M . Must

- ▶ $M \models "V = L"?$

Exotic categorical wellfounded models

Problem

Suppose $\text{ZFC} + \varphi$ has a unique transitive model M . Must

- ▶ $M \models "V = L"?$

Theorem (joint with Koellner)

Assume there is a Woodin cardinal with an inaccessible above.

There is a formula φ such that the following holds.

- ▶ *For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if $M \models \text{ZFC} + \varphi[x]$ and M is transitive, then M is unique and*
 - ▶ $M \models "V \neq L[x]"$.

Exotic categorical wellfounded models

Problem

Suppose $\text{ZFC} + \varphi$ has a unique transitive model M . Must

- ▶ $M \models "V = L"?$

Theorem (joint with Koellner)

Assume there is a Woodin cardinal with an inaccessible above.

There is a formula φ such that the following holds.

- ▶ *For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if $M \models \text{ZFC} + \varphi[x]$ and M is transitive, then M is unique and*
 - ▶ $M \models "V \neq L[x]"$.
- ▶ *For a Turing cone of x , there is transitive set M such that*
 - ▶ $M \models \text{ZFC} + \varphi[x]$.

Exotic categorical wellfounded models

Problem

Suppose $\text{ZFC} + \varphi$ has a unique transitive model M . Must

- ▶ $M \models "V = L"?$

Theorem (joint with Koellner)

Assume there is a Woodin cardinal with an inaccessible above.

There is a formula φ such that the following holds.

- ▶ *For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if $M \models \text{ZFC} + \varphi[x]$ and M is transitive, then M is unique and*
 - ▶ $M \models "V \neq L[x]"$.
- ▶ *For a Turing cone of x , there is transitive set M such that*
 - ▶ $M \models \text{ZFC} + \varphi[x]$.

- ▶ The proof is nowhere close to solving the problem.

Exotic MM^{++} models

Problem

Are any of the following consistent with MM^{++} and the existence of an extendible cardinal?

1. Axiom $(*)^{++}$.
2. $HOD \models "V = \text{Ultimate-}L"$.
3. There is no inner model N of ZFC with the ω_2 -approximation property and the ω_2 -cover property such that ω_2 is strongly inaccessible in N .

Exotic MM^{++} models

Problem

Are any of the following consistent with MM^{++} and the existence of an extendible cardinal?

1. Axiom $(*)^{++}$.
2. $HOD \models "V = \text{Ultimate-}L"$.
3. There is no inner model N of ZFC with the ω_2 -approximation property and the ω_2 -cover property such that ω_2 is strongly inaccessible in N .

Theorem

Suppose that δ is a super-Reinhardt cardinal. Then there is a generic extension of $V[G]$ of V such that the following that

- ▶ $V[G]_\delta \models \text{ZFC} + MM^{++} + \text{"There is an extendible cardinal"}$
and such that (3) holds in $V[G]_\delta$.

- ▶ But maybe the theorem is vacuously true.

Revised HOD Conjecture

- ▶ If super-Reinhardt cardinals are consistent then:
 - ▶ The HOD Conjecture is false.

Problem (Revised HOD Conjecture)

Suppose that δ is an extendible cardinal. Show that one of the following **must** hold.

1. HOD is a weak extender model of δ is supercompact.
 - ▶ Equivalently, HOD is close to V as in
 - ▶ The HOD Dichotomy Theorem.
2. HOD has no supercompact cardinals.

The HOD-analysis from AD^+ -theory

Problem

Assume $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and that $L(A, \mathbb{R}) \models AD^+$. Show that

- ▶ $HOD^{L(A, \mathbb{R})} \models GCH$.
- ▶ It seems quite plausible that this is the test question for solving the general HOD-analysis problem of AD^+ .
 - ▶ This is the problem of showing that HOD is a “fine-structure model”.
 - ▶ This problem in turn is deeply connected to the inner model problem for supercompact cardinals.

The HOD-analysis from AD^+ -theory

Problem

Assume $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and that $L(A, \mathbb{R}) \models AD^+$. Show that

- ▶ $HOD^{L(A, \mathbb{R})} \models GCH$.
- ▶ It seems quite plausible that this is the test question for solving the general HOD-analysis problem of AD^+ .
 - ▶ This is the problem of showing that HOD is a “fine-structure model”.
 - ▶ This problem in turn is deeply connected to the inner model problem for supercompact cardinals.
- ▶ But 6 months ago I would have said that any proof of the following theorem would also require the HOD-analysis.

Theorem

Assume $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and that $L(A, \mathbb{R}) \models AD^+$. Then

- ▶ $HOD^{L(A, \mathbb{R})} \models$ Ultrapower Axiom.

Background: Goldberg's Ultrapower Axiom

(Notation)

Suppose that $N \models \text{ZFC}$ is an inner model of ZFC, $U \in N$ and
 $N \models$ “ U is a countable complete ultrafilter”

- ▶ N_U denotes the transitive collapse of $\text{Ult}(N, U)$
- ▶ $j_U^N : N \rightarrow N_U$ denotes the associated ultrapower embedding.

Background: Goldberg's Ultrapower Axiom

(Notation)

Suppose that $N \models \text{ZFC}$ is an inner model of ZFC, $U \in N$ and
 $N \models "U \text{ is a countable complete ultrafilter}"$

- ▶ N_U denotes the transitive collapse of $\text{Ult}(N, U)$
- ▶ $j_U^N : N \rightarrow N_U$ denotes the associated ultrapower embedding.

Definition (The Ultrapower Axiom)

Suppose that U and W are countably complete ultrafilters. Then there exist $W^* \in V_U$ and $U^* \in V_W$ such that the following hold.

- (1) $V_U \models "W^* \text{ is a countable complete ultrafilter}"$.
- (2) $V_W \models "U^* \text{ is a countable complete ultrafilter}"$.

Background: Goldberg's Ultrapower Axiom

(Notation)

Suppose that $N \models \text{ZFC}$ is an inner model of ZFC, $U \in N$ and
 $N \models "U \text{ is a countable complete ultrafilter}"$

- ▶ N_U denotes the transitive collapse of $\text{Ult}(N, U)$
- ▶ $j_U^N : N \rightarrow N_U$ denotes the associated ultrapower embedding.

Definition (The Ultrapower Axiom)

Suppose that U and W are countably complete ultrafilters. Then there exist $W^* \in V_U$ and $U^* \in V_W$ such that the following hold.

- (1) $V_U \models "W^* \text{ is a countable complete ultrafilter}"$.
- (2) $V_W \models "U^* \text{ is a countable complete ultrafilter}"$.
- (3) $(V_U)_{W^*} = (V_W)_{U^*}$.
- (4) $j_{W^*}^{V_U} \circ j_U^V = j_{U^*}^{V_W} \circ j_W^V$.

Background: Consequences of the Ultrapower Axiom

Theorem (Goldberg)

Assume the Ultrapower Axiom and that κ is strongly compact. Then one of the following hold.

- 1. κ is a supercompact cardinal.*
- 2. κ is a measurable limit of supercompact cardinals.*

▶ This is the best possible result by the Menas Theorem.

Background: Consequences of the Ultrapower Axiom

Theorem (Goldberg)

Assume the Ultrapower Axiom and that κ is strongly compact. Then one of the following hold.

- 1. κ is a supercompact cardinal.*
- 2. κ is a measurable limit of supercompact cardinals.*

► This is the best possible result by the Menas Theorem.

Theorem (Goldberg)

Assume the Ultrapower Axiom and that κ is supercompact. Then the following hold.

- 1. $2^\gamma = \gamma^+$ for all $\gamma \geq \kappa$.*

Background: Consequences of the Ultrapower Axiom

Theorem (Goldberg)

Assume the Ultrapower Axiom and that κ is strongly compact. Then one of the following hold.

- 1. κ is a supercompact cardinal.*
- 2. κ is a measurable limit of supercompact cardinals.*

▶ This is the best possible result by the Menas Theorem.

Theorem (Goldberg)

Assume the Ultrapower Axiom and that κ is supercompact. Then the following hold.

- 1. $2^\gamma = \gamma^+$ for all $\gamma \geq \kappa$.*
- 2. Suppose $A \subset \kappa$ and that A codes V_κ . Then $V = \text{HOD}_A$.*
 - ▶ *V is a generic extension of HOD.*

Does $V = \text{Ultimate-}L$ vastly accelerate consistency strength?

Problem

Does $\text{ZFC} + "V = \text{Ultimate-}L"$ together with

- ▶ "There is a Woodin limit of Woodin cardinals"

prove the consistency of

- ▶ $\text{ZFC} + " \text{There is an Axiom } I_0 \text{ cardinal} "$?

Does $V = \text{Ultimate-}L$ vastly accelerate consistency strength?

Problem

Does $ZFC + "V = \text{Ultimate-}L"$ together with

- ▶ "There is a Woodin limit of Woodin cardinals"

prove the consistency of

- ▶ $ZFC + " \text{There is an Axiom } I_0 \text{ cardinal}"$?

If not, what about:

Problem

Does $ZFC + "V = \text{Ultimate-}L"$ together with

- ▶ "There is a cardinal which is Mahlo to Woodin cardinals"

prove the consistency of

- ▶ $ZFC + " \text{There is an Axiom } I_0 \text{ cardinal}"$?

Background: Exotic ZF models?

Theorem (AD^+)

Suppose $V = L(A, \mathbb{R})$ for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let

- ▶ Δ be the set of all $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that B is Suslin and co-Suslin
- ▶ $N = \text{HOD}_I$ where $I = \text{Ord}^\omega$.

Suppose that

1. Θ is a limit of Woodin cardinals in HOD .
2. The HOD-analysis holds in $L(\Delta)$.

Then $N_\Theta \models \text{ZF}$.

- ▶ By (1), $L(A, \mathbb{R})$ must be an LSA model. LSA models have emerged by work of Sargsyan, as a key class of AD^+ models.

Background: Exotic ZF models?

Theorem (AD^+)

Suppose $V = L(A, \mathbb{R})$ for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let

- ▶ Δ be the set of all $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that B is Suslin and co-Suslin
- ▶ $N = \text{HOD}_I$ where $I = \text{Ord}^\omega$.

Suppose that

1. Θ is a limit of Woodin cardinals in HOD .
2. The HOD-analysis holds in $L(\Delta)$.

Then $N_\Theta \models \text{ZF}$.

- ▶ By (1), $L(A, \mathbb{R})$ must be an LSA model. LSA models have emerged by work of Sargsyan, as a key class of AD^+ models.
- ▶ N_Θ is the first nontrivial candidate for a ZF model which (structurally) generalizes AD to **all** the levels of model.

Background: Exotic ZF models?

Theorem (AD^+)

Suppose $V = L(A, \mathbb{R})$ for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let

- ▶ Δ be the set of all $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that B is Suslin and co-Suslin
- ▶ $N = \text{HOD}_I$ where $I = \text{Ord}^\omega$.

Suppose that

1. Θ is a limit of Woodin cardinals in HOD .
2. The HOD-analysis holds in $L(\Delta)$.

Then $N_\Theta \models \text{ZF}$.

- ▶ By (1), $L(A, \mathbb{R})$ must be an LSA model. LSA models have emerged by work of Sargsyan, as a key class of AD^+ models.

- ▶ N_Θ is the first nontrivial candidate for a ZF model which (structurally) generalizes AD to **all** the levels of model.
- ▶ Can (or must) N_Θ have an Axiom I_0 cardinal?
 - ▶ Or even rank initial segments with super-Reinhardt cardinals"